

# OPY OF PAPERS



37 V5 #502 \$ 3/4/50

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re	Application of:	) Group No.: 3745				
	PICKELMAN et al	Docket,No.DP-301923				
Serial	No.: 09/652,542	) Examiner: Edgar, R.				
Filed:	August 31, 2000	)				
Title:	IMPROVED V-BLADE IMPELLER DESIGN FOR A REGENERATIVE TURBINE	) Date: <u>〜 しょ FをB ~ 2002</u>				
	issioner of Patents ngton, D.C. 20231					
	AMENDMENT T	TRANSMITTAL				
1.	Transmitted herewith is an <i>Amendment</i> for the application for patent cited above.					
	<u>STA</u>	ATUS RECEIVED				
2.	Applicant is	MAR 0 1 777				
	[ ] a small entity:	TECHNOLOGY CENTER A3700				
	[ ] now asserted. [ ] already asserted.					
	[X] other than a small entity.					
Postal S	certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being	AILING (37 CFR 1.8a)  ng attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the: Commissioner				
		(Type or print name of person mailing paper)				
Date: .	Feb. 6, 2002	(Signature of person mailing paper)				

#### **DOCKET NO.** DP-301923

#### **EXTENSION OF TIME**

NOTE: Extension of Time In Patent Cases (Supplemental Amendments)--If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34.35).

NOTE: See 37 CFR 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings and 37 CFR 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

3.	The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 apply
	(complete (a) or (b) as applicable)

(a) [ ] Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.17(a) for the total number of months checked below:

Extension	Fee for other than	Fee for small entity	
(months)	small entity		
one month	\$110.00	\$55.00	
[ ] two months	\$390.00	\$195.00	
[ ] three months	\$890.00	\$445.00	

Fee \$ 110.00

If an additional extension of time is required please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

[]	An extension for _	months has already been secured and the fee paid therefor		
	of \$	is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension		
	now requested.			

Extension fee due with this request \$  $\frac{110 - 0.00}{100}$ 

(b) Applicant believes that no extension of time is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

#### FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 CFR 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

(Col. 1)	(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALI	_ ENTITY		R THAN A _ ENTITY
CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR*	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDN. FEE	or	ADDN. RATE FEE
TOTAL* 31	minus** 31 -	0	x 9=	\$	x18=	\$
INDEP.* 3	minus*** 3 -	0	x40= +\$130	\$ - \$	x80= +\$260	<u>\$</u>
[ ]FIRST PRESENTA	HON OF WILL TIPLE DE	F. CLAIIVI	TOTAL	<del>- \$</del> \$	or	\$ 0.00

- If the entry in Col. 1 is less than entry in Col. 2 write "0" in Col. 3.
- ♦ ♦ If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20".



### DOCKET NO. DP-301923

Amendment Transmittal Page 3 of 3

•	• •	If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".  The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a price amendment or the number of claims originally filed.			
			(complete (a) or (b) as applicable)		
	(a)	[X]	No additional fee for claims is required.		
			OR		
	(b)	[]	Total additional fee for claims required is \$ 0.00.		
			FEE PAYMENT		
5.	[]	Attache	ed is check no in the sum of \$ to cover the Extension of Time Fee.		
	[]	Charge	Deposit Account No. 50-0831 the sum of \$		
			FEE DEFICIENCY		
		NOTE:	If there is a fee deficiency and there is non authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G. 31-33.		
ô.	[X]	If any a	dditional extension and/or fee is required, charge Deposit Account No. 50-0831.		
			AND/OR		
	[ <b>X</b> ]	If any a	dditional fee for claims is required, charge Deposit Account No. 50-0831.		
			SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY		

Type or print name of Attorney

Registration No.: 35,844

Vincent A. Cichosz

Delphi Technologies, Inc. Legal Staff P.O. Box 5052 Mail Code 480.414.420 Troy, Mi 48007-5052

TELEPHONE: (248) 267-5555 FACSIMILE: (248) 267-5559

G

FEB 2 1 2002 50

Sir:



TECHNOLOG CL. 179

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTN: BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENT AM	ENDMENT	422 8 159
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231		RECTITO
FOR A REGENERATIVE TURBINE	)	Date:
For: IMPROVED V-BLADE IMPELLER DESI	IGN )	
Serial No.: 09/652,542	)	Docket No.: DP-301923
Filed: August 31, 2000	)	Examiner: Edgar, R.
Pickelman et al.	)	
In re Application of:	)	Group Art Unit: 3745

Applicants acknowledge that they have received an Office Action dated October 25, 2001 concerning the application for patent cited above. According to the Office Action, the application is deficient in the following respects:

- (1) It was indicated that Figures 1-6 of the Drawings should be labeled "Prior Art";
- (2) Claims 11, 13-14, 16-17 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) based upon U.S. Patent No. 5,299,908 to Robbie;
- (3) Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, 21, 23-24, 26-27 and 31 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) based upon Robbie, in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,762,469 to Yu;
- (4) Claims 11-19 were provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 as claiming the same invention (i.e., "same invention-type double patenting") as that of the Claims identified in the Action based on copending application Serial No. 09/571,825;